Application No. 10/595,736
Amendment dated February 26, 2009
Office Action dated January 6, 2009

REMARKS

Claims 1, 5-9 and 23-25 remain pending, though only Claims 1, 5, 6, 23 and 24 are in active prosecution.

Claim 1 has been amended and Claims 26-28 have been added.

Claims 23 and 24 have been indicated to be allowable, for which Applicants extend their thanks.

Claims 1 and 5-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for the reasons set forth in the Action, page 2, paragraphs 2-4.

Applicants' amendment to Claim 1 deals with the Section 112 rejection set forth in paragraph 3, page 2.

As regards the Section 112 rejection set forth in paragraph 4, page 2, Applicants traverse.

The Examiner has pointed to Applicants' use of the term "optionally" in the claims and asserts that that term "renders the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable." The Examiner cites to MPEP § 2173.05(d) in apparent support for his assertion.

Application No. 10/595,736 Amendment dated February 26, 2009 Office Action dated January 6, 2009

Applicants submit that MPEP § 2173.05(d) indicates that "exemplary claim language" "should not be applied as per se rules" for indefiniteness, but rather are fact specific.

In addition, MPEP § 2173.05(h) III is entitled:
Alternative Limitations; "OPTIONALLY". This section indicates
that provided no ambiguity exists as to which alternatives are
covered the use of the term "optionally" is acceptable.

Moreover, according to the U.S. Patent and Trademark
Office website over 11,000 (nearly 12,000) patents have been
granted having at least one claim containing the term
"optional". The most recent one of these patents was granted on
February 24, 2009. See U.S. Patent No. 7,496,837, claims 10,
19, 29 and 35.

Thus, Applicants submit that the use of the term "optionally" does not render the claim indefinite, but merely introduces the possibility that a second semiconductor chip may be included in the semiconductor device provided in the step(s) of the method.

Applicants request a prompt and favorable reexamination of the pending claims, based on the amendments and remarks above.

Application No. 10/595,736 Amendment dated February 26, 2009 Office Action dated January 6, 2009

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be contacted by telephone at (860) 571-5001 or by facsimile at (860) 571-5028. All correspondence should be directed to the address below.

Respectfully submitted,

Stever C. Bauman

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 33,832

HENKEL CORPORATION
Legal Department
1001 Trout Brook Crossing
Rocky Hill, CT 06067
Customer No. 31217